

Committee: Development Control
Date: 13 December 2006
Title: Appeal Decisions
Author: M Ovenden, 01799 510476

Agenda Item
8

Item for decision

APPEAL BY	LOCATION	APPLICATION NO	DESCRIPTION	APPEAL DECISION & DATE	DATE OF ORIGINAL DECISION	SUMMARY OF DECISION
Mr & Mrs Moore	Sparlings Farm Felsted	UTT/1521/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for free-range egg production unit	ALLOWED planning permission granted subject to conditions 7-NOV-06	6 Dec 2005	The Inspector concluded that although the building would be large and prominent it is of a type commonly associated with agriculture and would not appear out of place due to landscaping and external materials.
Mr B R Dobson	Shamrock Cottage And No 2 Workhouse Yard Great Easton	UTT/2011/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for extend the drop kerb by No 5, 1 No ramping kerb	DISMISSED 6-NOV-06	3 Feb 2006	The Inspector concluded that the proposal would be hazardous for both vehicles and pedestrians.
Mr M Pitman	1 Mallows Green Road Manuden	UTT/1938/05/FUL	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for single storey side extension, demolish and rebuild existing garage	ALLOWED Deleting condition No. C.6.7 and substituting therefore the following condition: The garage hereby permitted shall be kept available for the parking of a car at all times 6-NOV-06	20 Jan 2006	The Inspector concluded that it would be appropriate to require the retention of the ability to park a car in the garage and imposed a slightly briefer condition achieving the same purpose as the one imposed by the Council.

M & M Lang	Ramseys High Easter	UTT/2117/05/LB	Appeal against refusal to grant planning permission for the addition of solar water heating collectors to newly built walkway roof to provide a sustainable, non-polluting energy source plus addition of matching black trunking to take pipe to loft	DISMISSED 6-NOV-06	16 Feb 2006	The Inspector concluded that the proposed solar panels would be visible from the garden and adjacent highway and would appear as dominating, alien features detracting from the qualities of the listed building. Whilst removable there was now indication that they would be short lived and no clear benefits were identified to outweigh their harm.
------------	------------------------	----------------	--	-----------------------	----------------	--